



TITLE:

Embedding α -convex functions in the class \mathcal{U} (Some inequalities concerned with the geometric function theory)

AUTHOR(S):

Tuneski, Nikola

CITATION:

Tuneski, Nikola. Embedding α -convex functions in the class \mathcal{U} (Some inequalities concerned with the geometric function theory). 数理解析研究所講究録 2014, 1878: 94-99

ISSUE DATE:

2014-04

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/195598>

RIGHT:

Embedding α -convex functions in the class \mathcal{U}

Nikola Tuneski

Abstract

In this paper the relation between classes

$$\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \beta, z \in \mathbb{D} \right\} \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \beta < 1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}(\lambda, \mu) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0 \text{ and } \left| \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\mu} \cdot f'(z) - 1 \right| < \lambda, z \in \mathbb{D} \right\} \quad (\mu \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda > 0)$$

is studied and sharp sufficient conditions that imply

$$\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta) \subset \mathcal{U}(\lambda, \mu)$$

are given, together with several examples.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45.

Key words and phrases. analytic function, α -convex function, class \mathcal{U} , sufficient condition, differential subordination.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ be the class of functions that are analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and

$$\mathcal{H}[a, n] = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) : f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots\},$$

where n is a positive integer and $a \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\mathcal{H}_n \equiv \mathcal{H}[1, n]$. Also, let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) : f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots\}.$$

The class of *starlike functions of order* α , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, which is a subclass of the class of univalent functions, is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > \alpha, z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

The class of *starlike functions* $\mathcal{S}^* \equiv \mathcal{S}^*(0)$ consists of functions f that map the unit disk onto a starlike region, i.e. if $w \in f(\mathbb{D})$, then $tw \in f(\mathbb{D})$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Another subclass of univalent functions is the class of *convex functions of order* α , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, defined by

$$\mathcal{K}(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] > \alpha, z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

Here $\mathcal{K} \equiv \mathcal{K}(0)$ is the class of *convex functions* such that $f \in \mathcal{K}$ if and only if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is a convex region, i.e., if for any $w_1, w_2 \in f(\mathbb{D})$ follows $tw_1 + (1-t)w_2 \in f(\mathbb{D})$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Further, using operators

$$J(f, \alpha; z) \equiv (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{R})$$

and

$$U(f, \mu; z) = \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\mu} \cdot f'(z) \quad (\mu \in \mathbb{C}),$$

let define classes

$$\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta) = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} J(f, \alpha; z) > \beta, z \in \mathbb{D}\} \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \beta < 1),$$

$$\mathcal{M}'(\alpha, \gamma) = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : |J(f, \alpha; z) - 1| < \gamma, z \in \mathbb{D}\} \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma > 0)$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}(\lambda, \mu) = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : f(z)/z \neq 0 \text{ and } |U(f, \mu; z) - 1| < \lambda, z \in \mathbb{D}\} \quad (\mu \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda > 0).$$

Specially, $\mathcal{M}(\alpha) \equiv \mathcal{M}(\alpha, 0)$ is the well known class of α -convex functions for which ([4], p.10):

$$\mathcal{M}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}^* \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{S}^* \text{ for } \alpha \geq 1.$$

More details on all these classes can be found in [2] and [4].

Further, class $\mathcal{U}(\lambda, \mu)$ and its special cases $\mathcal{U}(\lambda) \equiv \mathcal{U}(\lambda, 1)$ and $\mathcal{U} \equiv \mathcal{U}(1) = \mathcal{U}(1, 1)$ are widely studied in the past decades ([1], [3], [8]-[17]). It is known [1, 17] that functions in $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ are univalent if $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, but not necessarily univalent if $\lambda > 1$. Also, functions from $\mathcal{U}(\lambda, \mu)$, in general case are not starlike. More precisely, Obradović [7], and Ponnusamy and Singh [18], proved that

$$\mu < 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1-\mu}{\sqrt{(1-\mu)^2 + \mu^2}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\lambda, \mu) \subset \mathcal{S}^*;$$

extended by Fournier and Ponnusamy [3] as:

$$\operatorname{Re} \mu < 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{|1-\mu|}{\sqrt{|1-\mu|^2 + |\mu|^2}} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\lambda, \mu) \subset \mathcal{S}^*.$$

Particulary,

$$\mathcal{U}(1, \mu) \subset \mathcal{S}^* \Leftrightarrow \mu = 0,$$

i.e., $\mathcal{U} \not\subset \mathcal{S}^*$, which can be also verified by the function

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{1 + \frac{1}{2}z + \frac{1}{2}z^3} \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{S}^*.$$

Therefore, it is of interest to study the relation between classes $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta)$, $\mathcal{M}'(\alpha, \gamma)$ and $\mathcal{U}(\lambda, \mu)$, which will be done in this paper. More precisely, we will obtain sufficient conditions when

$$\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta) \subset \mathcal{U}(\lambda, \mu) \text{ or } \mathcal{M}'(\alpha, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{U}(\lambda, \mu).$$

For the investigation we will use methods from the theory of first order differential subordinations and we proceed with some basic definitions. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic in the unit disk. Then we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$, and we write $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if $g(z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{D} , $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq g(\mathbb{D})$. Further on, we use the method of differential subordinations introduced by Miller and Mocanu [5]. In fact, if $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (\mathbb{C} is the complex plane) is analytic in domain D , if $h(z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{D} , and if $p(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{D} with $(p(z), zp'(z)) \in D$, when $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then we say that $p(z)$ satisfies a first-order differential subordination if

$$\phi(p(z), zp'(z)) \prec h(z) \quad (1)$$

The univalent function $q(z)$ is dominant of the differential subordination (1) if $p(z) \prec q(z)$ for all $p(z)$ satisfying (1). If $\tilde{q}(z)$ is a dominant of (1) and $\tilde{q}(z) \prec q(z)$ for all dominants of (1), then we say that $\tilde{q}(z)$ is the best dominant of the differential subordination (1). If $p \in \mathcal{H}[a, n]$, then $q(z)$ is called an (a, n) -dominant and $\tilde{q}(z)$ the best (a, n) -dominant.

From the theory of first-order differential subordinations we will make use of the following lemma.

Lemma 1 (Suffridge [19] or Corollary 3.1d.1 on p.76 from [4]) *Let h be starlike in \mathbb{D} , with $h(0) = 0$ and $a \neq 0$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}[a, n]$ satisfies*

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \prec h(z),$$

then

$$p(z) \prec q(z) = a \cdot \exp \left[\frac{1}{n} \cdot \int_0^z \frac{h(t)}{t} dt \right]$$

and q is the best (a, n) -dominant.

2 Main results and consequences

Let note that for $p(z) = U(f, -1/\alpha; z)$ we have

$$J(f, \alpha; z) = 1 + \alpha \cdot \frac{zU'(f, -1/\alpha; z)}{U(f, -1/\alpha; z)}. \quad (2)$$

and $a = p(0) = 1$, i.e. $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$. So, directly from Lemma 1, having in mind relation (2), we receive the following result.

Theorem 1 *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Also, let h be starlike in \mathbb{D} , $h(0) = 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. If*

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot [J(f, \alpha; z) - 1] \prec h(z) \quad (3)$$

or equivalently

$$J(f, \alpha; z) \prec 1 + \alpha h(z),$$

then

$$U(f, -1/\alpha; z) \prec \exp \left[\frac{1}{n} \cdot \int_0^z \frac{h(t)}{t} dt \right] \equiv q(z),$$

and q is the best $(1, n)$ -dominant of (3). Even more, if $f''(0) \neq 0$, then $n = 1$.

Using the definition of subordination we receive the next corollary that gives information about the relation between the class $\mathcal{U}(\lambda, \mu)$ and the classes $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\mathcal{M}'(\alpha, \gamma)$.

Corollary 1 *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Also, let $\alpha \neq 0$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $\gamma > 0$.*

(i) *If $\alpha < 0$ and $\frac{1-\beta}{n\alpha} \geq -\frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta) \subset \mathcal{U}(\lambda_1, -1/\alpha),$$

where $\lambda_1 = 2^{c_1} - 1$ and $c_1 = -\frac{2(1-\beta)}{n\alpha}$.

(ii) *If $\gamma \leq n|\alpha|$, then*

$$\mathcal{M}'(\alpha, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{U}(\lambda_2, -1/\alpha),$$

where $\lambda_2 = e^{|\alpha|} - 1$ and $c_2 = \frac{\gamma}{n\alpha}$.

Even more, if $f''(0) \neq 0$, then $n = 1$ in the previous results. These results are sharp, i.e. given values of λ_1 and λ_2 are the smallest ones so that the corresponding inclusion holds.

Proof.

(i) Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then, by the definition of subordination we conclude that (3) holds for

$$1 + \alpha h(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad h(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{2z(1 - \beta)}{1 - z}.$$

Now, from Theorem 1, we receive

$$p(z) = U(f, -1/\alpha; z) \prec \exp \left[\frac{1}{n} \cdot \int_0^z \frac{h(t)}{t} dt \right] = (1 - z)^{c_1} = q(z) \quad (4)$$

and q is the best $(1, n)$ -dominant of (3). Further, having in mind that $0 < c_1 \leq 1$ we conclude that $q(\mathbb{D})$ is a convex region since $q_1(z) = \frac{1 - q(z)}{c_1} \in \mathcal{K}$ because of

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{z q_1''(z)}{q_1'(z)} \right] > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{1 - c_1 z}{1 - z} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad |c_1| \leq 1.$$

Also, $q(\mathbb{D})$ is symmetric with respect to the real axes ($q(\bar{z}) = \overline{q(z)}$). Therefore,

$$|q(z) - 1| < \lambda \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

where

$$\lambda = \sup \{|q(z) - 1| : z \in \mathbb{D}\} = \max\{q(-1) - 1, q(1) - 1\} = 2^{c_1} - 1 = q(-1),$$

for $c_1 > 0$. So, by the definition of subordination and subordination (4) we have $f \in \mathcal{U}(\lambda, -1/\alpha)$. In the case when $c_1 < 0$ we receive $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$.

The result is sharp because for $\lambda_* < \lambda_1$ and $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta) \subset \mathcal{U}(\lambda_*, -1/\alpha)$ we have $p(z) \prec 1 + \lambda_* z$ and $q(z) \prec 1 + \lambda_* z$ which contradicts the fact that q is the best $(1, n)$ -dominant of (3).

(ii) First, let note that $|c_2| \leq 1$. In a similar way as in the proof of part (i), using $h(z) = \frac{z}{\alpha}$ in Theorem 1 we receive

$$q(z) = e^{c_2 z}.$$

Again, $q(\mathbb{D})$ is a convex region since $q_2(z) = \frac{q(z)-1}{c_2} \in \mathcal{K}$ due to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{z q_2''(z)}{q_2'(z)} \right] > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{Re}(1 - c_2 z) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad |c_2| \leq 1.$$

Region $q(\mathbb{D})$ is symmetric with respect to the real axes ($q(\bar{z}) = \overline{q(z)}$), and we realize that

$$\sup \{|q(z) - 1| : z \in \mathbb{D}\} = \max\{q(-1) - 1, q(1) - 1\} = e^{|c_2|} - 1.$$

Therefore,

$$|U(f, -1/\alpha; z) - 1| < e^{|c_2|} - 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

i.e., $f \in \mathcal{U}(\lambda_2, -1/\alpha)$. Proof of the sharpness goes in a similar way as in part (i). \square

3 Examples

By specifying some concrete values for α , γ and/or β we have next examples.

Example 1 Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $f''(0) \neq 0$ and $\frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Also, let $\alpha \neq 0$, $\gamma \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \beta < 1$. The following results are sharp.

(i) If $\alpha \leq -2$ then $\mathcal{M}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{U}(1, -1/\alpha)$, i.e.

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left| \left[\frac{z}{f(z)} \right]^{1-1/\alpha} \cdot f'(z) - 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

($\beta = 0$ in Corollary 1(i));

(ii) If $\alpha = -2(1 - \beta)$, then $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta) \subset \mathcal{U}(1, -1/\alpha)$. ($c_1 = 1$ in Corollary 1(i));

(iii) $\mathcal{M}'(1, 1) \subset \mathcal{U}(e - 1, -1)$, i.e.

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad |f'(z) - 1| < e - 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

($\alpha = \gamma = 1$ in Corollary 1(ii));

(iv) $\mathcal{M}'(1/2, 1/2) \subset \mathcal{U}(e - 1, -2)$, i.e.

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left| \frac{f(z)f'(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < e - 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

($\alpha = \gamma = 1/2$ in Corollary 1(ii));

References

- [1] Aksent'ev L. A., Sufficient conditions for univalence of regular functions (Russian), *Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika* **4** 3 (1958), 3–7.
- [2] Duren P. L., Univalent functions, *Springer-Verlag*, 1983.
- [3] Fournier R., Ponnusamy S., A class of locally univalent functions defined by a differential inequality, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **52** (2007), no. 1, 1–8.
- [4] Miller S.S., Mocanu P.T., Differential subordinations, Theory and Applications, *Marcel Dekker*, New York-Basel (2000).
- [5] Miller S.S., Mocanu P.T., Differential subordinations and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.* **28** 2 (1981), 157–172.
- [6] Miller S.S., Mocanu P.T., On some classes of first-order differential subordinations, *Michigan Math. J.* **32** 2 (1985), 185–195.
- [7] Obradović M., A class of univalent functions. *Hokkaido Math. J.* **27** 2 (1998), 329–335.
- [8] Nunokawa M., Obradovic M., and Owa S., One criterion for univalence, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **106** (1989), 1035–1037.
- [9] Obradovic M., Ponnusamy S., On the class U, Proc. 21st Annual Conference of the Jammu Math. Soc. and a National Seminar on Analysis and its Application, 25-27.02.2011
- [10] Obradovic M., Ponnusamy S., Product of univalent functions, *Math. Comput. Modelling* **57** 3-4 (2013), 793–799.
- [11] Obradovic M., Ponnusamy S., Criteria for univalent functions in the unit disk, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **100** 2 (2013), 149–157.

- [12] Obradovic M., Ponnusamy S., On harmonic combination of univalent functions, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **19** 3 (2012), 461-472
- [13] Obradovic M., Ponnusamy S., New criteria and distortion theorems for univalent functions, *Complex Variables Theory Appl* **44** (2001), 173-191.
- [14] Obradovic M., Ponnusamy S., Singh V. and Vasundhra P., Univalence, starlikeness and convexity applied to certain classes of rational functions, *Analysis (Munich)* **22** (3)(2002), 225-242.
- [15] Obradovic M., Ponnusamy S., Univalence and starlikeness of certain integral transforms defined by convolution of analytic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **336** (2007), 758-767.
- [16] Obradovic M., Ponnusamy S., Coefficient characterization for certain classes of univalent functions, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. (Simon Stevin)* **16** (2009), 251-263.
- [17] Ozaki S., Nunokawa M., The Schwarzian derivative and univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **33** (1972), 392-394.
- [18] Ponnusamy S., Singh V., Convolution properties of some classes of analytic functions. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)* **226** (1996), *Anal. Teor. Chisel i Teor. Funktsii.* **13** 138-154, 238-239; translation in *J. Math. Sci. (New York)* **89** 1 (1998) 1008-1020.
- [19] Suffridge T. J., Some remarks on convex maps of the unit disk, *Duke Math. J.* **37** (1970), 775-777.

Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje
 Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
 Karpos 2 b.b., 1000 Skopje
 Republic of Macedonia
 E-mail: nikola.tuneski@mf.edu.mk